STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME Fish and Game	CONTACT PERSON Melanie Weav	ver	916-445-3717
FISH AND CAME DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OF	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
502 Waterfowl, Migratory; Amer		1 (Common Gallinule)	Z
	ECONOMIC IN	IPACT STATEMENT	
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR CO	OST IMPACTS (Include calculations a	and assumptions in the rulemaking	g record.)
 Check the appropriate box(es) below 	to indicate whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts businesses and	d/or employees	e. Imposes report	ing requirements
b. Impacts small business	es	f. Imposes presci	riptive instead of performance
c. Impacts jobs or occupat	ions	g. Impacts individ	uals
d. Impacts California comp	petitiveness		ove (Explain below. Complete the tatement as appropriate.)
h. (cont.)			
(If any box in Items 1 a throu-	gh g is checked, complete this Econo	mic Impact Statement.)	
2. Enter the total number of businesse	s impacted: Desc	ribe the types of businesses (Incli	ude nonprofits.):
			271
Enter the number or percentage of	total businesses impacted that are sm	nall businesses:	
3. Enter the number of businesses that	will be created:	eliminated:	
			-
4. Indicate the geographic extent of imp	pacts: Statewide Lo	cal or regional (List areas.):	
		550	
5. Enter the number of jobs created:	or eliminated: Desi	cribe the types of jobs or occupati	ons impacted:
, _			•

6. Will the regulation affect the ability of	of California businesses to compete w	vith other states by making it more	costly to produce goods or services here?
Yes No	If yes, explain briefly:		
103	ii yoo, oxpiain bilony.		

B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calcu	lations and assumptions in the rulema	aking record.)	
1. What are the total statewide dollar c	osts that businesses and individuals r	may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business:	\$ Ann	ual ongoing costs: \$	Years;
b. Initial costs for a typical business	:\$ Ann	ual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Ann	ual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
d. Describe other economic costs the	nat may occur:		
	Wilder		

i .

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

	nter the share of total costs for each	en industry:	
		ts a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Includ	
costs to do programming, record kee	eping, reporting, and other paperw	vork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$	
4. Will this regulation directly impact ho	ousing costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit:	and the
number of units:			
5. Are there comparable Federal regula	ations? Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of	Federal
regulations:			
Enter any additional costs to busines	sses and/or individuals that may b	ee due to State - Federal differences: \$	
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits is r	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	
Briefly summarize the benefits that m	nay result from this regulation and	who will benefit:	
Are the benefits the result of : Explain:	· · ·	, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory author	ity?
3. What are the total statewide benefits			fits is not
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT	TION (Include calculations and as	ne? \$ssumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of bene	efits is not
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law,	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)		
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law,	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.)	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of bene	
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and descriptions	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of bene s were considered, explain why not:	
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and descriptions. Summarize the total statewide costs.	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of beneats were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered:	
ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and descriptions	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation a	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of beneats were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, 1. List alternatives considered and descriptions 2. Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation:	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of beneats were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, 1. List alternatives considered and described and descr	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, 1. List alternatives considered and described and descr	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of beneats were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
2. Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issu	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation at Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ ues that are relevant to a comparis	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of beneats were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
2. Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 2: 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues.	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation as Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ ues that are relevant to a comparison to consider performance standard.	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Son of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law, 1. List alternatives considered and described and descr	TION (Include calculations and as but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternative and benefits from this regulation as Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ ues that are relevant to a comparison to consider performance standard.	sumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits were considered, explain why not: and each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ son of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: dis as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologic mance standards considered to lower compliance costs? Yes	es or

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will t	he estimated costs	of this regulation to Califo	rnia business enterprises excee	ed \$10 million?	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
2. Brie	fly describe each e	qually as an effective alter	native, or combination of alterna	atives, for which a cost-effectiveness	s analysis was performed:
Alte	mative 1:				
	,	-		ost and overall cost-effectiveness ra	
	ulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	mative 1:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alle	rnative 2:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
			FISCAL IMPACT	STATEMENT	The state of the s
	CAL EFFECT ON L		ndicate appropriate boxes1 thro	ugh 6 and attach calculations and a	ssumptions of fiscal Impact for the current
				ent State Fiscal Year which are rein t seq. of the Government Code. Fur	
	a. is provide	ed in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
	b. will be re				get Act of
	Section 6 of Article	XIII B of the California Co	nstitution and Sections 17500 e	t seq. of the Government Code bec	
				ir approval of Proposition No.	
	d. is issued	only in response to a spec	ific request from the		
		·	,		are the only local entity(s) affected;
	e. will be ful	ly financed from the	(F	EES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
			of the		Code;
	f. provides	for savings to each affecte		h will, at a minimum, offset any add	
	g. creates,	eliminates, or changes the	penalty for a new crime or infra	ction contained in	
3.	Savings of approx	timately \$	annually.		
4.	No additional cost	s or savings because this	regulation makes only technica	I, non-substantive or clarifying chan	ges to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

√ 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.				
6.	Other.				
	CAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations ar nd two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the current			
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is antici	ipated that State agencies will:			
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.				
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.				
2.	. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.				
√ 3.	. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.				
4.	. Other.				
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
<u> </u>	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.				
2.	. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.				
√ 3	8. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program				
	4. Other.				
FISCA	AL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 4/14/10			
	NCY SECRETARY 1 ROVAL/CONCURRENCE Jon Wischer	7 June 2010			
	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER ARTMENT OF FINANCE ROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE			

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
ranking official in the organization.

^{2.} Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.